## THE ULLMANN SYNTHESIS OF BIARYLS, 1945-1963

### PAUL E. FANTA

### *Department* of *Chemistry, Illinois Institute* of *Technology, Chicago 16, Illinois*

#### *Received May 16, 1964*

#### **CONTENTS**



### I. INTRODUCTION

The formation of a biaryl by the condensation of two molecules of an aromatic halide in the presence of finely divided copper is known as the Ullmann reaction. The previous review of this useful preparative procedure covered the literature from the time of the discovery of the reaction by Ullmann (156) up to 1944 (91). In recent years the reaction has enjoyed continued use; for example, in the elucidation of structure of natural products and the mechanisms of organic reactions, in the synthesis of biologically active substances, and in the preparation of biaryls for use in stereochemical studies. The objective of the present review is to supplement, but not to duplicate, any of the material in the earlier review.

### 11. NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE REACTION

### **A.** THE AROMATIC HALIDE

It has long been known that the reactivity of aryl halides with copper, as measured by the temperature required to initiate the reaction or by the yield of biaryl obtained, is greatly dependent on the structure of the halide. Generalizations about these structural effects, drawn from the earlier literature, were presented in some detail in the previous review. The more recent work supports these conclusions, with some significant modifications.

The results of a study of the Ullmann reaction of the nine o-, *m-,* and p-chloro-, bromo-, and iodonitrobenzenes are presented in Table I. According to these data, the order of reactivity of the halogens is  $I > Br$ Cl, and the activating effect of the nitro group is  $o > p$  $> m (74)$ .

Forrest (97) conducted a careful study of the comparative reactivities of 27 halobenzenes with copper. After treatment with copper bronze for 1.5 hr. at  $190-195^{\circ}$ . the extent of reaction of each compound was determined from three independent measurements: (1) copper halide formed, (2) aryl halide recovered by fractional



distillation, **(3)** biaryl formed. For all but one compound, the extent of reaction as measured by the first and second analytical procedures agreed within  $5\%$ . The value obtained by isolation of biaryl was frequently lower, due to difficulties in isolation. These data provide the most coherent basis for the formulation of generalizations regarding the effect of substituents on the Ullmann reaction of halobenzenes.

In the earlier review, it was proposed that substituents in the aromatic nucleus which have an effect on the Ullmann reaction of halogenated benzene derivatives fall into four categories. The more recent work requires some modification of these generalizations.

# *1. Activating Groups*

The activating effect of strongly electronegative substituents such as the nitro and carbomethoxyl groups is predominantly at the *ortho* position. For example, whereas *o*-iodonitrobenzene is one of the most reactive aryl halides known in the Ullmann reaction (192), *m-* and p-iodonitrobenzene are hardly more reactive than iodobenzene. The reactivity of o-chloronitrobenzene is not significantly enhanced by the presence of an additional nitro group in the para position, as in 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene (97).

A good yield of a single biaryl was obtained from 2,5-dibromonitrobenzene, showing that the bromine atom meta to the nitro group is unreactive compared to the o-bromine atom (66).



Treatment of a mixture of 2,4-dibromonitrobenzene and iodobenzene with copper gave products resulting only from the reaction of the o-bromine; the bromine atom in the para position was unreactive (97).



#### **2.** Deactivating Groups

The hypothesis that since electronegative groups are activating, electropositive groups should be deactivating (91) is not supported by the evidence provided by Forrest (97). Further substitution of iodobenzene by chloro, methyl, or methoxy groups increases the reactivity of the halogen, particularly in the meta and para positions. Examples of bromo- or iodobenzenes which are highly substituted by electropositive groups and give good yields of biphenyls are (substituents and yield are given): 2,6-dimethoxy-,  $47\%$ ; 2,4,6-trimethoxy-, 64%; 2,3,4,5-tetramethoxy-, 69%; 2,4-dimethoxy-6-methyl-,  $90\%$ ; 2,6-dimethoxy-4-methyl-,  $88\%$ ; 2,4-dimethoxy-3,5-dimethyl-,  $86\%$ ; 3,4-dimethoxy-2,-6-dimethyl-, 63%. Literature references and further examples may be found in Table **11.** 

Conclusions about the effect of "activating groups" are further complicated by the fact that the true rate of the aryl halide-copper reaction is not necessarily measured by the analysis of the products (97). Since the reaction must occur at the metallic surface, a particular type of "activated" compound may conceivably owe its reactivity in part to favorable solvent properties for the copper halide or other reaction products which are formed at the interface.

## **3.** Inhibiting Groups

These are defined as those substituents which decrease the yield of biaryl by providing an alternative reaction path for the aryl halide. A quantitative evaluation of the effectiveness of such groups is provided by

observations of the influence of diluents on the Ullmann reaction (97). The study was conducted by heating iodobenzene with copper powder at  $195^{\circ}$  for a fixed period of time (usually *5* hr.), first alone and then in the presence of added substances containing different functional groups. For each reaction, the product was analyzed for percentage yield of copper halide and percentage yield of biaryl. Phenols and aromatic primary amines completely prevented the formation of biaryl and also greatly decreased the formation of copper halide. Addition of benzoic acid also eliminated biaryl formation but increased the yield of copper halide. The treatment of reactive nitrohaloarenes with benzoic acid and copper powder at 200' has been described as a useful dehalogenation procedure (216). Satisfactory yields of dehalogenated products were obtained from haloarenes containing a chlorine or bromine in a position adjacent to a nitro group, or an iodine ortho, meta, or para to a nitro group (217).

Although o-bromobenzoic acid reacts rapidly with copper at 160' to give only cuprous benzoate and cuprous bromide, treatment of the potassium salt of the acid with copper in the presence of a little water gives diphenic acid in  $43\%$  yield (124). As a protective group for the carboxylic acid, the potassium salt is much inferior to the ester, since dimethyl diphenate is obtained in 82% yield by the conventional Ullmann reaction of methyl o-iodobenzoate.

Esterification is a useful method for the protection of the sulfonic acid group. For example, whereas a 12% yield of biaryl was obtained from the reaction of sodium *o*-iodobenzenesulfonate with copper powder in dilute, aqueous copper sulfate solution, an  $81\%$  yield was obtained from the reaction of the corresponding phenyl ester with copper in the usual way *(5).* 

Certain m-dinitroaryl halides also undergo side reactions which significantly decrease the yield of desired biaryl. The most thoroughly investigated example of this effect is the reaction of **2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene**  with iodobenzene, which gives an abnormal biaryl and a triphenylamine derivative in addition to the expected biaryl (97).



# ULLMANN SYNTHESIS OF BIARYLS **615**



# TABLE **I1** *(Continued)*



# **ULLMANN SYNTHESIS OF BIARYLS**

# **TABLE** I1 Continued)

 $\sim$ 



**TABLE** I1 *(Continued)* 

Substituents in Ar	x	Temp., $^{\circ}$ C.	Special conditions	Yield. $\%$	Reference
2-Benzyl-6-methyl-4-pyrimidyl			Cumene		250
8-Ethyl-2-quinolyl	Br	220		3	58
6-Chloro-5-quinolyl			Nitrobenzene		123
6-Methoxy-8-quinolyl					187
1-Isoquinolyl	$_{\rm Br}$	230		17	56
3-Isoquinolyl	Br	270		13	56

# *4. Steric Effects*

Based on a limited number of observations, it was proposed in the earlier review that the relative hindrance of biaryl formation by bulky groups in positions adjacent to the halogen is the same as the effect of such groups on the restriction of rotation in the resolvable biaryls. The evidence obtained more recently does not provide support for this hypothesis. As can be seen by inspection of Table 11, a variety of 2,6-disubstituted halobenzenes gave good yields in the Ullmann reaction. No correlation between size of *ortho* substituent and yield of biaryl can be discerned. The difficulty of generalizing observations about steric effects is illustrated by the observation that although 1-iodo-2-methylnaphthalene gave no biaryl in an attempted Ullmann reaction, methyl 2-bromonaphthoate gave an excellent yield  $(11)$ , and unsymmetrical biaryls I and II were obtained in respectable yields by the reactions of the corresponding iodomethylnaphthalenes with *o*-bromonitrobenzene (98, 227).



Table I1 includes all symmetrical biaryls prepared by the Ullmann reaction as found by a systematic search of the literature through *Chemical Abstracts,* Jan., 1945 to June, 1963. Also included are some earlier examples which were overlooked in the previous review. Compounds are listed in an order similar to the Beilstein system; aryl nuclei are in the order: phenyl, naphthyl, anthraquinolyl, other carbocyclics, heterocyclics; substituents are in the order: halogen, nitro, alkyl, alkoxyl, carbonyl, carbomethoxyl, sulfonate, aryl.

### B. SYNTHESIS OF UNSYMMETRICAL BIARYLS

In the earlier review, some generalizations were developed regarding the optimum conditions for preparation of unsymmetrical biaryls. It was suggested that the selection of aryl halides of nearly equal reactivity minimized the formation of the symmetrical biaryls, and thus increased the yield of the desired product. Many further examples of the preparation of unsymmetrical biaryls are recorded in Table **111,** and systematic studies require modification of the earlier generalizations. Forrest **(97)** has shown that an optimum yield of unsymmetrical biaryl AB is obtained when one of the aryl halides is relatively reactive (A-component) and the other is relatively unreactive (B-component).

# $AX + BX' \rightarrow AA + AB + BB$

The A-component generally must contain at least one electronegative group, such as nitro or carbomethoxy, *ortho* to the halogen atom. Bromo compounds, and to a lesser extent, chloro compounds are most useful as A-components; the corresponding iodo derivatives undergo predominantly self-condensation with corresponding increase in the formation of the symmetrical biaryl AA. For example, o-bromonitrobenzene reacted with iodobenzene to give a much better yield of the unsymmetrical biaryl than was obtained from oiodonitrobenzene (97).



The B components lack electronegative groups in the *ortho* positions and are usually iodo compounds, although in certain instances bromo and chloro compounds have been employed. When both aryl halides are of the B-type, the three possible biaryls are usually obtained in roughly equal yield. For example, the

# **ULLMANN** SYNTHESIS **OF** BIARYLS

# TABLE I11



**I** Br 175

# TABLE I11 *(Continued)*



# **ULLMANN SYNTHESIS OF BIARYLS 62** 1

# TABLE I11 *(Continwd)*



#### **TABBLE** I11 *(Continued)*



reaction of an equimolar mixture of 4-iodo-1,2,3-trimethoxyiodobenzene and 5-iodo-1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene gave the following distribution of products **(70).** 



The reaction of methyl 3-iodoanisate with 2 moles of 2,4dimethoxyiodobenzene gave the following distribution **(87).** 



The same type of product distribution is observed when both components are of a similar A-type, for example, 2-iodo-3-nitrotoluene and 4-iodo-3-nitrotoluene (48).



Another such example is provided by the reaction of o-nitroiodobenaene and 2-iodo-3-nitroanisole (18).



An exceptionally good yield of unsymmetrical biaryl is usually obtained only from the neaction of an **A**component with a B-component. Out of a total of 202 examples of preparations of unsymmetrical biaryls

Another characteristic of such favorable mixed U11 mann reactions is that they occur at a lower temperature than that at which the B-component alone reacts with copper (97); therefore no biaryl of the type BB is formed. Operation at the lowest practical temperature for the reaction of the A-component with copper gives the best results, and the practice of raising the temperature to complete the reaction may be deleterious. Under ideal conditions, an excess of the B-component can be used and may result in an increased conversion of A-component to unsymmetrical biaryl AB. However, prolonged heating of a product containing nitro groups with excess B-component is harmful, because **of**  the possible occurrence of the reduction-arylation reaction previously mentioned.

The literature coverage of the unsymmetrical biaryls listed in Table **111** is the same as described for Table 11. For each entry, the aryl group Ar is the one which appears later than Ar' according to the order of precedence used in Table 11.

#### C. CYCLIZATION REACTIONS

In the earlier review, the Lothrop synthesis of biphenylene by the treatment of 2,2'-dihalobiphenyls or 2,2 '-biphenyleneiodonium iodides with cuprous oxide was classified as an intramolecular Ullmann reaction. Subsequently, a variety of substituted biphenylenes were prepared by the same method (18-21). In each instance, cuprous oxide and not metallic copper was used, and it was reported that the yield obtained in the cyclization was critically dependent on the quality of the cuprous oxide used (60, 73, 237).



The observation that biphenylene was not obtained by heating o-diiodobenzene with cuprous oxide (20) further supported the view that the Lothrop biphenylene synthesis and the Ullmann biaryl synthesis are distinctly different in scope as well as mechanism.

Although only tars were obtained from the reaction of 1,2- or 2,3-dibromonaphthalene with copper **(27),**  more recently it was found that treatment of 2-bromo-3-iodonaphthalene with copper bronze in refluxing dimethylformamide gave a dibenzobiphenylene in very low yield (239). The formation and dimerization of a naphthalyne intermediate was suggested as a plausible mechanism for the reaction.



Similarly, 4-bromo-5-iodoveratrole with copper bronze in nitrobenzene gave a minute yield of a biphenylene derivative (19).



In view of these very low yields, it was surprising that 3,4-dibromo-5-nitrotoluene with copper bronze in refluxing dimethylformamide gave no biaryl but a  $40\%$ yield of a **dimethyldinitrobiphenylene** (67). Under the same reaction conditions, the 4-iodo analog gave a good yield of the expected biaryl and only  $2\%$  of the biphenylene. Therefore, the biaryl cannot be an intermediate in the formation of the biphenylene. These results can be interpreted by assuming that the more reactive iodo compound undergoes the Ullmann reaction much more rapidly than it forms a benzyne intermediate, whereas for the less reactive bromo compound the formation of a benzyne intermediate followed by dimerization is the predominant reaction.



#### **D.** LINEAR PRODUCTS FROM BIFUNCTIONAL HALIDES

The reaction of a mixture of a bifunctional and a monofunctional aryl halide to give a substituted terphenyl or quaterphenyl may be considered a special

## **TABLE** IV LINEAR PRODUCTS FROM BIFUNCTIONAL HALIDES



case of the unsynimetrical biaryl synthesis. Ten reported examples are presented in Table IV. Here too, an exceptionally good yield of the desired product is obtained when one of the halides is an activated type and the other an unactivated type (171). **A** few reported examples of the preparation of low polymers by the Ullmann reaction of dihaloarenes are also included in Table IV.

### **E. UNSUCCESSFUL REACTIONS**

Examples of unsuccessful attempts to use the U11 mann reaction are collected in Table **V.** In most such cases, the starting material is recovered, or an intractable tar is formed when a higher temperature is used. Some attempted syntheses of unsymmetrical biaryls fail because only symmetrical products are formed.

### 111. **EXPERIMENTAL** CONDITIONS

Most Ullniann reactions are conducted by simply heating a mixture of the aryl halide and finely divided copper to the required temperature in an open vessel. In specific instances, various modifications of this procedure are required for optimum results.

### **A. THE ARYL HALIDE**

Special purification of the aryl halide used in the Ullmann reaction is usually unnecessary. An exception to this generalization is the observation that extensive dehalogenation and a poor yield of biaryl was obtained from methyl 1-bromo-2-naphthoate which had been purified by recrystallization, apparently due to the

### TABLE V

UNSUCCESSFUL REACTIONS **Reactants Reference** 



#### B. Attempted syntheses of unsymmetrical biaryls



retention of unidentified impurities. When the aryl

halide was purified by vacuum distillation, an **87%**  yield of biaryl was obtained (11). The Ullmann coupling of 3-fluoro-4-nitroiodobenzene with an equimolar mixture of methyl o-chloro- and o-bromobenzoate gave a better yield of the unsymmetrical biaryl than either *o*halo ester alone (93).

### B. THE FINELY DIVIDED COPPER

In the older as well as the more recent literature, several different forms of finely divided copper have been advocated as superior reagents for the Ullmann reaction. Unfortunately, no really systematic study of this problem has been reported. Most reactions have been conducted using the commercially available form of mechanically pulverized copper known as copper bronze, either without pretreatment (97) or after "activation" by successive washing with acetone solutions of iodine and hydrochloric acid (234).

On the other hand, it is reported that commercial "lithographic bronze" containing  $0.2 - 0.5\%$  fatty acids, mainly stearic, palmitic, and oleic acids, partly as the copper salts, is a superior reagent for the Ullmann reaction, since reproducible yields are obtained, and usually no unchanged iodo compounds remain from reactions conducted above 220" (172). **A** U. s. patent has been issued based on the claim of conducting the Ullmann reaction using "electrolytic copper milled with stearic acid" (122).

For the preparation of 2,2'-dinitrobiphenyl from  $o$ -iodonitrobenzene, Gore and Hughes  $(111)$  claimed that commercial samples of copper powder gave irreproducible results and that freshly precipitated copper powder obtained by the treatment of oxide-free zinc dust with aqueous copper sulfate was more effective. Using a fourfold ratio of this copper and a temperature of 190-240 $^{\circ}$ , they obtained a 96 $\%$  yield of the biaryl. Later, Rausch (192) obtained a  $99.6\%$  yield of 2,2'dinitrobiphenyl from o-iodonitrobenzene by using a tenfold ratio of commercial copper bronze activated in the traditional way and running the reaction in an atmosphere of nitrogen for 60 hr. at  $60^{\circ}$ . This is the lowest temperature and highest yield ever recorded for an Ullrnann reaction.

#### **C.** DILUENTS

In the older literature, there are many examples of the use of diluents such as nitrobenzene and high-boiling aromatic hydrocarbons in the Ullmann reaction. It was supposed that such substances were chemically inert and served to moderate the vigorously exothermic reaction which occurs when very reactive halides are treated with copper. However, in his study of the effect of diluents, Forrest (97) found that addition of nitrobenzene to the iodobenzene-copper reaction at 195" suppressed the formation of both copper halide and biphenyl to a much greater extent than would be expected from a simple dilution effect. Furthermore, at least a small portion of the nitrobenzene reacted chemically by reduction and arylation to give triphenylamine, and similar side reactions were observed in the Ullmann reactions of other aryl halides in the presence of other mononitroaryls.

$$
\bigotimes I + \bigotimes NO_2 \xrightarrow{Cu} NO_2
$$
\n
$$
\bigotimes \bigotimes I + \bigotimes N(C_6H_5)_2
$$

The reduction-arylation reaction was even more pronounced when 2,G-dinitrochlorobenzene was treated with copper bronze in boiling nitrobenzene solution. The main reaction product  $\text{was}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{I}}(2,6\text{-dinitrodiphenyl-})$ amine, and the yield of biaryl was so small as to render the reaction impractical (34).



In the presence of m-dinitroaryls a further side reaction occurs (97). For example, the treatment of a mixture of iodobenzene and 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene with copper gave no biphenyl, but only the abnormal reaction products, 2,4,G-trinitrobiphenyl and 3,5-dinitrotriphenylamine.



In view of all these observations it seems clear that nitroaryls cannot be considered to be inert diluents for the Ullmann reaction.

The use of dimethylformamide, which has a boiling point of 153", as a diluent in the Ullmann reaction was reported by Kornblum and Kendall (141). Better yields of biaryl have frequently been obtained by conducting the reaction for a prolonged time in refluxing dimethylformamide, compared to the undiluted reaction at a higher temperature (9, 66, 68). The advantage of this particular diluent has been ascribed to its action as a solvent in keeping the copper surface free of copper halide, reactants, and products (37).

The use of dimethylformamide offers no advantage with most aryl halides which are inert or for some other reason give no biaryl at all under the usual reaction conditions (11, 141). It has been reported to be especially advantageous for the preparation of biaryls containing free aldehyde and ketone groups (9, 103), 2,2'-bithienyl (248)) and **2,2',6,6'-tetranitrobiphenyl**  (219) and has been mentioned in a patented procedure for the preparation of an acylaminobianthraquinolyl (63). Another convenient property of dimethylformamide is that it can usually be removed from the reaction products by simply pouring the mixture into water.

One of the disadvantages of dimethylformamide is that it seems to cause an increase in the extent of the dehalogenation reaction,  $ArX \rightarrow ArH$  (37, 103, 117). In some instances this side reaction may complicate the isolation of the desired biaryl (117) or actually cause a decrease in yield compared to the usual conditions (37, 126,176). It is also possible that dimethylformamide facilitates the halogen exchange reaction, as it has been shown that 2,5-diiodohydroquinone dimethyl ether reacts with excess cuprous chloride in boiling dimethylformamide to give a **70%** yield of the corresponding chloro compound (172).

Where diluents have been used in the Ullmann reaction, they have been identified in Tables I1 and 111. In most instances, the reaction was conducted at or near the boiling point of the diluent.

#### **I). SIDE REACTIONS**

In the preparation of certain unsymmetrical biaryls from a reactive aryl chloride or bromide and unactivated aryl iodide, the conversion of the aryl iodide to an inert chloride or bromide has been observed (97, 151, 172). The extent of the halogen-transfer reaction is usually small, but in specific instances it may be sufficient to detract significantly from the yield of desired biaryl. For example, reaction of an equimolar mixture of o-bromonitrobenzene and 1-iodonaphtha-

lene at 200° gave 30% of 1-bromonaphthalene (97).

\n
$$
\sum_{\text{NO}_2} \text{Br} + \text{I} \longrightarrow \sum_{\text{200}^+} \text{unsymmetrical} + \text{Br} \longrightarrow \sum_{\text{200}^+} 30\%
$$

The yield of biaryl was improved either by using an excess of 1-iodonaphthalene or by conducting the reaction at a lower temperature.

The halogen exchange probably occurs by reaction of the aryl iodide with cuprous chloride or bromide, since it has been observed that 2,5-diiodohydroquinone dimethyl ether reacts with excess cuprous chloride to give a **70%** yield of the corresponding chloro compound (172).

As discussed in section IIA, dehalogenation is the predominant reaction in the presence of hydrogen donors such as carboxylic acids. A small amount of dehalogenation also frequently occurs even when no obvious hydrogen source is present (51).

A dibenzofuran derivative has been obtained as a very minor by-product of the Ullmann reaction of the two o-dihalobenzene derivatives, 4-bromo-5-iodoveratrole (19) and o-chloroiodobenzene (172).

Other side reactions which have been definitely characterized have already been considered in previous sections of this review.

### **E. PROTECTIVE ATMOSPHERE**

In a few instances, the Ullmann reaction has been conducted with exclusion of air, as noted in Tables I1 and 111. Since both the reagents and products of the typical reaction are not known to be particularly sensitive to oxygen, such a precaution is probably of no benefit (51).

### **F. ISOLATION OF PRODUCTS**

Depending on the nature of the products, the traditional techniques of distillation, fractional crystallization, extraction, and chromatography have been employed. The undesired by-products of the reaction are often dark, resinous materials which are readily removed by chromatography on alumina.

The separation of the mixture of products which is often obtained in the preparation of unsymmetrical biaryls is especially facilitated if one aryl residue contains a carboxylate group, since saponification then gives a separable mixture of neutral material, monocarboxylic acid, and dicarboxylic acid. For example, for the preparation of **2,4,2'-trimethoxybiphenyl** it was found most convenient to subject a mixture of methyl 3-iodo-4-methoxybenzoate and 4-iodoresorcinol dimethyl ether to an Ullmann reaction, which gave a mixture of products from which the monocarboxylic acid was readily isolated. Decarboxylation gave the desired trimethoxybiphenyl in good yield (87).



### IV. MECHANISM

In the earlier review, it was suggested that a useful approach to the study of the mechanism of the Ullmann reaction is the hypothesis that one might find as intermediates either (1) free radicals, (2) moderately stable, isolable organocopper compounds, or **(3)** metallic complexes of transitory existence.

In support of the free-radical hypothesis, Bell and Morgan (28) observed that it is difficult to envisage the formation of the highly hindered 6,6'-dinitrodiphenate from ethyl 2-iodo-3-nitrobenzoate in a specifically oriented arrangement by way of a transition complex. They felt that the formation and union of free radicals offered a more acceptable interpretation. Nursten (177) studied some Ullmann reactions in the presence of catechol and resorcinol, where dehalogenation is the predominant reaction, and interpreted the results as further evidence for the free-radical mechanism.

The formation of biphenyl by the reaction of bromobenzene and methanol in the presence of potassium hydroxide and palladium catalyst has some superficial resemblance to the Ullmann reaction.

$$
2C_6H_sBr + 2KOH + CH_6OH \stackrel{Pd}{\rightarrow} C_6H_6C_6H_5 + 2KBr + 2H_2O + CH_6O
$$

The reaction was studied in some detail by Mayo and Hurwitz **(155),** who reached the conclusion that "biphenyl was formed by coupling of adsorbed phenyl radicals on a specific surface in the absence of a more adequate source of hydrogen." A significant feature of this hypothesis is the concept of the formation and reaction of adsorbed radicals, and the idea that a specific type of palladium surface is required for the reaction. Analogously, if radicals are intermediates in the Ullmann reaction, they probably cannot be free radicals in the usual sense, but must remain at the metal surface until formation of biaryl is complete.

Arguments in support of the free-radical mechanism have been based on the isolation of abnormal reaction products, such as the traces of biphenyl-2- and -4-carboxylic acids formed in the course of the reaction of iodobenzene with copper in the presence of an excess of ethyl benzoate. It was observed by Forrest (97) that such heterogeneous reactions are characteristic of slow or sterically hindered reactions, usually involving nitro compounds. In the absence of nitro compounds, negligible amounts of such by-products are formed. Therefore the Ullmann reaction probably does not occur *via*  a free-radical mechanism.

At first consideration, it appears that 2,6-dinitrobiphenyl, obtained when iodobenzene is treated with copper in the presence of  $m$ -dinitrobenzene, is a typical product to be expected from the reaction of phenyl radicals with the nitro compound. However, the product distribution shows a greatly different pattern from that obtained in accepted free-radical reactions as shown in Table **VI.** Thus m-dinitrobenzene on treatment with benzoyl peroxide at 100°, or under the conditions of the Gomberg-Bachmann arylation reaction in acetone, gives primarily 2,4-dinitrobiphenyl. From this evidence, Forrest concluded that the mechanism of the abnormal arylation is also in doubt (97).

The study of arylcopper compounds, which might possibly be intermediates in the Ullmann reaction, has been largely neglected since the report of Gilman and Straley (107) on the preparation of phenylcopper from the reaction of phenylmagnesium iodide with cuprous

$$
\bigotimes -MgBr \ + \ CuI \ \ \rightarrow \ \ \bigotimes -Cu \ + \ MgX_2
$$

iodide. They observed that there was no experimental evidence for the existence of diphenylcopper, which was proposed as a possible intermediate in the formation of biphenyl from phenylcopper.

$$
2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u & +c_u \\ 0 & -c_u & +c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & +c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & +c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_u \\ 0 & -c_u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow C\begin{pmatrix} 0 &
$$

More recently it has been reported that diphenyl- and dimesitylcopper are indeed formed by the reaction of cupric chloride with phenyl- or mesitylmagnesium iodide in tetrahydrofuran and are stable at the boiling

# TABLE VI **PRODUCT DISTRIBUTION**



point of the solvent, which is  $66^{\circ}$  (225). Hydrolysis of the organocopper compounds gives benzene or mesitylene, but no biaryl. Unfortunately, no other chemical reactions of diarylcopper have been reported.

Evidence against arylcopper as an intermediate in the Ullmann reaction is the observation that phenylcopper undergoes certain reactions typical of the Grignard reagent; for example, it adds to benzaldehyde to give a **24%** yield of benzhydrol (107). No evidence has been obtained to indicate that carbonyl or ester groups undergo Grignard-type addition reactions in the ordinary course of the Ullmann reaction.

In his study of the effect of diluents, Forrest (97) found that the Ullmann reaction was markedly suppressed by addition of nitro compounds, aldehydes, and esters. However, from a practical synthetic viewpoint, such substituents in the aryl halide can be considered to be inert and compatible with the biaryl synthesis.

On the other hand, the reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide with nitrobenzene to give diphenylamine, among other products (106), has a superficial similarity

# $C_6H_5NO_2 + 4C_6H_6MgBr \rightarrow (C_6H_5)_2NH + C_6H_6OH + (C_6H_5)$

to the formation of triphenylamine derivatives observed when the Ullmann reaction is conducted in the presence of certain aromatic nitro compounds as diluents. Although this resemblance cannot be considered to be very compelling evidence for Gignard-like organocopper compounds in the usual Ullniann biaryl synthesis, it suggests that further studies of the chemistry of organocopper compounds would be of value in the elucidation of the mechanism of the reaction **(172).** 

It has long been known that the Ullmann reaction of substituted halobenzenes results in the formation of biaryl bonds only at the carbon atom from which the reactive halogen has been displaced. With the aid of a radioactive tracer, it has now been demonstrated that this conclusion is also valid for iodobenzene. Biphenyl-1,1'-C<sup>14</sup> was prepared in  $80\%$  yield by the Ullmann reaction of iodobenzene-1-C<sup>14</sup>. Oxidation of the biphenyl gave benzoie acid which showed no loss in specific activity  $(249)$ .





One of the most significant items of evidence regarding the mechanism is the observation that exceptionally good yields of unsymmetrical biaryl can be obtained from the reaction of an activated aryl halide with a relatively unreactive halide at a temperature too low for the second halide alone to react with copper (97). This suggests that the first halide reacts with the copper to form an intermediate which then reacts preferentially with the second halide. The observation is of very general validity, and many examples have been observed. One of the simplest is the reaction of  $o$ -bromonitrobenzene with a slight excess of iodobenzene to give a 60% yield of 2-nitrobiphenyl (97). The particular role of an *ortho* electronegative group in promoting the reaction may be due to the possibility of chelation as well as electron withdrawal from the aromatic nucleus, which facilitates nucleophilic attack of copper as shown in I11 for the hypothetical complex formed by reaction of o-bromonitrobenzene and copper.

The second stage of the reaction may be envisaged as a nucleophilic attack of the complex on a second molecule of aryl halide, which preferably lacks the activating group and has the more polarizable carbon-iodine bond, such as iodobenzene as shown in IV. It would appear necessary for the complex I11 to first release the bromide ion while remaining attached to the copper surface; the nucleophilic character of the residue can be maintained by a further flow of electrons from the copper. Such a nucleophilic attack seems plausible, in view of the reported nucleophilic halogen exchange which occurs between aryl iodide and cuprous chloride under conditions similar to those used in the Ullmann reaction (172).

In the final step of the reaction, the covalent biaryl bond is formed, with release of the iodide ion as shown in V. In summary, it appears that the evidence which has been available since the previous review provides further support and a more detailed picture of the activated complex hypothesis as the most acceptable mechanism for the Ullmann reaction.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.-This article was prepared during the tenure of an exchange scholarship (1963-1964) awarded under terms of an agreement between the Czechoslovak Ministry of Education and Culture and the Inter-University Committee on Travel Grants. Library and secretarial services were provided by the Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague.

#### **V.** REFERENCES

- **(1)** Akermark, B., Erdtman, H., and Wachtmeister, C. A., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* **13, 1855 (1959).**
- (2) Allen, C. F. H., and Pingert, F. P., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 64, **2639 (1942).**
- **(3)** Ammerer, L., and Zinke, A., *Monatsh.,* **84, 25 (1953).**
- **(4)** Armarego, **W.** L. F., *J. Chem. Soc.,* **433 (1960).**
- **(5)** Armarego, **W.** L. F., andTurner, E. E., *J. Chem. Soc.,* **1665 (1956).**
- Armarego, W. L. F., and Turner, E. **E.,** J. *Chem. SOC.,*  3668 (1956).
- Armarego, W. L. F., and Turner, E. E., J. *Chem. Soc.,* 13 (1957).
- Awad, W. I., Baddar, F. G., and Selim, M. I. B., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 1854 (1962).
- Bacon, R. *G.* R., and Lindsay, W. S., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>1375</sup> (1958).
- Bacon, R. G. R., and Lindsay, W. S., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>1382</sup> (1958).
- Bacon, R. G. R., and Bankhead, R., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>839</sup> (1963).
- Baddar, F. G., El-Assal, S., and Baghos, V. B., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 986 (1958).
- Baddar, F. G., Fahim, H. A,, and Fleifel, A. M., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 2199 (1955).
- Baddar, F. G., Fahim, H. A., and Fleifel, A. M., J. *Chem. Soc.,* 3302 (1955).
- Baddar, F. G., Fahim, H. **A.,** and Galaby, M. A,, J. *Chem. SOC.,* 465 (1955).
- (16) Baddar, F. G., and Gindy, M., J. Chem. Soc., 450 (1944).
- Baddar, F. G., and Gindy, M., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 1231 (1948).
- Baker, W., Barton, J. W., and McOmie, J. F. W., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 2G58 (1958).
- Baker, W., Barton, J. W., McOmie, J. F. W., Penneck, R. J., and Watts, M. L., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 3986 (19Gl).
- Baker, W., Boarland, M. P. V., and McOmie, J. F. W., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 1476 (1954).
- Baker, W., McLean, N. **J.,** and McOmie, J. F. W., J. *Chem. Soc.,* 922 (1963).
- (22) Baker, W., and Miles, D., J. Chem. Soc., 2089 (1955).
- Barnes, R. A., and Faessinger, R. W., J. Org. *Chem.,* 26, 4544 (1961).
- Barnett, M. D., Daub, G. H., Hayes, F. N., and Ott, D. G., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 81, 4583 (1959).
- Beaton, J. M., and Tucker, S. H., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>3870</sup> (1952).
- (26) Beckwith, A. L. **J.,** and Waters, **W.** A., J. *Chem. SOC.,*  1665 (1957).
- (27) Bell, F., and Hunter, W. H., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 2904 (1950).
- (28) Bell, F., and Morgan, W. H. D., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>1716</sup> (1954).
- (29) Bell, F., and Waring, D. H., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 267 (1949).
- (30) Bergmann, E. D., and Lowenthal, H. J. E., *Bull. Res. Council Israel,* 3, 72 (1953).
- (31) Bergmann, E. D., and Szmuszkovicz, J., J. Am. Chem. *SOC.,* 73, 5153 (1951).
- (32) Blatchley, J. M., McOmie, J. F. W., and Watts, M. L., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 5085 (1962).
- (33) Blatchley, J. M., McOmie, J. F. W., and Thattle, S. D., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 5090 (1962).
- (34) Borsche, W., and Rantscheff, D., *Ann.,* 379, 152 (1911).
- (35) Bradley, W., and Jadhav, G. V., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>1622</sup> (1948).
- (36) Bradsher, C. K., and Bond, J. B., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 71, 2659 (1949).
- (37) Braithwaite, R. S. **W.,** and Holt, P. F., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>3025</sup> (1959).
- (38) Brockmann, H., and Dorlars, A., *Ber.,* 85, 1168 (1952).
- (39) Brockmann, H., Falkenhausen, E. H., Neef, R., Dorlars, A., and Budde, G., *Ber.,* 84, 865 (1961).
- (40) Brockmann, H., and Hieronymus, E., *Ber.,* 88,1379 (1955).
- (41) Brockmann, H., and Kluge, F., *Naturwissenschuften,* 38, 141 (1951).
- (42) Brockmann, H., Kluge, F., and Muxfeldt, H., *Ber.,* 90, 2302 (1957).
- Brockmann, H., and Muxfeldt, H., German Patent 956,- 307; *Chem. Abstr.,* 53, 6193 (1959).
- (44) Brockmann, H., Neeff, R., and Muhlmann, E., *Ber.*, 83, 467 (1950).
- Brockmann, H., and Vorbrueggen, H., *Ber.,* 95,810 (1962).
- Bruce, J. M., and Sutcliie, F. K., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>3820</sup> (1956).
- Buckles, R. E., Filler, R., and Hilfman, L., *J. Org. Chem.,*  17, 233 (1952).
- (48) Carlin, R. B., and Foltz, G. E., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 78, 1997 (1956).
- Carlin, R. B., and Heininger, C. A., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 77, 2272 (1955).
- Carlin, R. B., and Odioso, R. C., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 76, 2345 (1954).
- Carlin, R. B., and Swakon, E. A., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 77, 966 (1955).
- Carpanelli, C., and Leandri, G., *Ann. Chim.* (Rome), 51, 181 (1961).
- Carruthers, W., and Douglas, A. G., J. *Chem.* Soc., <sup>2813</sup> (1959).
- Case, F. H., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 67, 116 (1945).
- Case, F. H., J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 68, 2574 (1946).
- Case, F. H., J. *Org. Chem.,* 17, 471 (1952).
- Case, F. H., and Kasper, T. J., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 78, 5842 (1956).
- Case, F. H., and Lafferty, J. J., *J.* Org. *Chem.,* 23, 1375 (1958).
- (59) Castro, C. E., Andrews, L. J., and Keefer, R. M., J. Am. *Chem. SOC.,* 80, 2322 (1958).
- Cava, M. P., and Stucker, J. F., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 77, 6022 (1955).
- Chen, F. C., Chang, C. T., Hung, M., Lin, **Y.** C., and Choong, S. T., *Proc. Chem. SOC.,* 232 (1959).
- Chen, F. C., and Liu, S. T., J. *Taiwan Pharm. ASSOC.,* 5,53 (1953); *Chem. Abstr.,* 49, 5464 (1955).
- CIBA, Ltd., British Patent 889,746 (Feb. 21, 1962); *Chem. Abstr.,* 57, 7202 (1962).
- Colbert, J. C., Fox, D., and Skinner, W. A,, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 75, 2249 (1953).
- Copeland, P. G., Dean, R. E., and McNiell, D., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 4522 (1960).
- Corbett, J. F., and Holt, P. F., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 3646 (1960).
- Corbett, J. F., and Holt, P. F., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 4261 (1961).
- Corbett, J. F., and Holt, P. F., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 5029 (1961).
- Corbett, J. F., Holt, P. F., and Hughes, A. N., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 3643 (1960).
- Critchlow, A., Haworth, R. D., and Pauson, **P.** L., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1318 (1951).
- Cromarties, R. I. T., Harley-Mason, J., and Wanningama, D. G. P., J. Chem. Soc., 1982 (1958).
- Curtis, R. F., and Wiswanath, G., *Chem. Ind.* (London), 1174 (1954).
- (73) Curtis, R. F., and Wiswanath, G., J. Chem. Soc., 1670 (1959).
- (74) Davey, W., and Latter, R. W., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 264 (1948).
- (75) DeRidder, R., and Martin, R. H., *Bull. soc. chim. Belges*, 69, 534 (1960).
- (76) DeTar, D. F., and Chu, C. C., J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 82,4969 (1960).
- (77) DeTar, D. F., and Howard, J. C., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 77, 4393 (1955).
- (78) Dethloff, W., and *Mix,* H., *Ber.,* 82, 534 (1949).
- (79) Dey, B. B., Govindachari, T. R., Rajagopalan, S. C., and Udupa, H. V., J. *Sci. Ind. Res.* (India), 6B, 103 (1947); *Chem. Abstr.,* 43, 3729 (1949).
- (80) Dienet, **J.,** and Gottlieb, H. B., U. S. Patent 2,396,989 (March 19, 1946); *Chem. Abstr.,* 40, 4227 (1946).
- (81) Dieteren, H. M. L., and Koningsberger, C., *Rec. trav. chim.,*  82, 5 (1963).
- (82) Edwards, Jr., J. D., and Cashaw, J. L., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,*  76, 6141 (1954).
- (83) Eglinton, G., King, F. E., Lloyd, G., Loder, J. W., Marshall, J. R., Roberteon, **A,,** and Whalley, W. B., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 1833 (1958).
- (84) Emrick, D. D., and Truce, W. E., *J. Org. Chem.,* 25, 1103 (1960).
- (85) Erdtman, H., Eriksson, G., and Norin, T., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* 15, 1796 (1961).
- (86) Erdtman, H., Granath, M., and Schultz, G., *Acta Chem. Scand., 8,* 1442 (1954).
- (87) Erdtman, H., Haglid, F., and Stjernstroem, **N.** E., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* 15, 1761 (1961).
- (88) Erdtman, H., and Nilsson, M., *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 10, 735 (1956).
- (89) Erdtman, H., and Wachtmeister, C. **A.,** *Nature,* 172, 724 (1953).
- (90) Everitt, P. M., Hall, D. M., and Turner, E. E., *J. Chem.*  **SOC.,** 2286 (1956).
- (91) Fanta, P. E., *Chem. Rev.,* 38, 139 (1946).
- (92) Fanta, P. E., and Shatavsky, M., J. *Am. Chem.* Soc., 73, 500 (1951).
- (93) Fletcher, T. L., Namkung, M. J., Wetzel, W. H., and Pan, H. S., J. *Org. Chem.,* 25, 1342 (1960).
- (94) Fletcher, T. L., Namkung, M. J., Pan, H. S., and Wetzel, **W.** H., J. *Org. Chem.,* 25, 996 (1960).
- (95) Fletcher, T. L., Wetzel, W. H., Namkung, M. J., and Pan, H. L., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 81, 1092 (1959).
- (96) Forbes, E. J., Tatlow, J. C., and Wragg, R. T., *Tetra hedron,* 8, 73 (1960).
- (97) Forrest, **J.,** *J. Chem. SOC.,* 566, 574, 581, 589, 592, 594 (1960).
- (98) Forrest, J., and Tucker, S. H., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1137 (1948).
- (99) Frank, H. R., Fanta, P. E., and Tarbell, D. S., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 70, 2314 (1948).
- (100) Fujikawa, F., Tokuoka, **A.,** Nishimoto, M., and Miura, K., *Yakugaku* Zasshi, 75,600 (1955).
- (101) Fuson, R. C., and Hornberger, C., *J. Org. Chem.,* 16, 631 (1951).
- (102) Fuson, R. C., and Kerr, R. O., *J. Org. Chem.,* 19, 373 (1954).
- (103) Gardent, **J.,** *Bull.* soc. *chim. France,* 1049 (1962).
- (104) Gehm, R., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* 5, 270 (1951).
- (105) Geissman, T. **A.,** Schlatter, N. J., Webb, I. D., and Roberts, J. D., *J. Org. Chem.,* 11, 741 (1946).
- (106) Gilman, H., and McCracken, R., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 51, 821 (1929).
- (107) Gilman, H., and Straley, J. M., *Rec. trau. chim.,* 55, 821 (1936).
- (108) Gilman, H., Weipert, E. **A.,** Dietrich, J. J., and Hayes, F. N., *J. Org. Chem.,* 23,361 (1958).
- (109) Gilman, H., and Wilder, G. R., J. *Org. Chem.,* 22, 523 (1957).
- (110) Goldschmidt, S., and Suchanek, L., *Ber.,* 90, 19 (1957).
- (111) Gore, **P.** H., and Hughes, G. K., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>1615</sup> (1959).
- (112) Graebe, C., and Suter, M., *Ann.,* 340, 222 (1905).
- (113) Grimshaw, **J.,** and Haworth, R. D., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>4225</sup> (1956).
- (114) **Hall,** D. **M.,** Lesslie, M. S., and Turner, E. E., *J. Chem.*  **Soc.,** 711 (1950).
- (115) *Hall,* D. **M.,** and Turner, E. E., *J. Chem. SOC.,* <sup>1242</sup> (1955).
- (116) Harris, M. M., and Mitchell, R. K., J. *Chem. Soc.,* <sup>1905</sup> (1960).
- (117) Hathway, D. E., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 519 (1957).
- (118) Hawkins, J., and Tucker, S. H., *J. Chem. SOC.,* <sup>3286</sup> (119) Haworth, R. D., Moore, B. P., and Pauson, P. L., J. *Chem.*  (1950).
- *SOC.,* 3271 (1949).
- (120) Hey, D. H., and Moynehan, T. M., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1563 (1959).
- (121) Holt, P. F., and Hughes, **A.** N., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 3216 (1960).
- (122) Hughes, L. J., and Weaver, L. J., U. S. Patent 2,907,799 (Oct. 6, 1959); *Chem. Ahstr.,* 54, 2276 (1960).
- (123) Huisgen, R., *Ann.,* 559, 101 (1948).
- (124) Hurtley, W. R. H., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1870 (1929).
- (125) Iffland, D. C., and Siegel, H., *J. Am. Chem. SOC., 80,* 1947 (1958).
- (126) Ihrig, J. L., and Wong, R. K. L., J. *Polymer Sci.,* 33, 457 (1958).
- (127) Ikeda, T., Taylor, W. I., Tsuda, Y., Uyeo, S., and Yajima, H., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 4749 (1956).
- (128) Inubushi, Y., and Nomura, K., *Yakugaku Zasshi,* 81, 7 (1961).
- (129) Inubushi, Y., Xomura, K., Nishimura, E., and Yamamoto, ?if., *Yakugaku Zasshi,* 78, 1189 (1958).
- (130) Jean, G. N., and Nord, F. F., *J. Org. Chem.,* 20,1363 (1955).
- (131) Jean, G. N., Owen, L. J., and Nord, F. F., *Nature,* 169, 585 (1952).
- (132) Jeffries, P. R., *Chem. Znd.* (London), 1425 (1955).
- (133) Jurd, L., *Chem. Znd.* (London), 322 (1961).
- (134) Kallianpur, C. S., and Merchant, J. R., *J. Indian Chem.*  Soc., 38, 27 (1961).
- (135) Kawano, N., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Tokyo), 7, 698 (1959).
- (136) Kern, W., Ebersbach, H. W., and Ziegler, I., *Makrmol. Chem.,* 31, 154 (1959).
- (137) Kern, W., Gruber, W., and Wirth, H. O., *Makromol. Chem.,* 37, 198 (1960).
- (138) Kobayashi, *S.,* and Uyeo, S., J. *Chem. SOC.,* 638 (1957).
- (139) Kochetkov, N. K., Khorlin, **A.** *Ye.,* Chizhov, 0. S., and Sherchenko, V. I., *Zzv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ofd. Khim. Nauk,* 850 (1962).
- (140) Kondo, H., Ikeda, T., and Taga, J., *Ztsuu Kenkyusho Nempo,* 3, 65 (1952); *Chem. Abstr.,* 47, 7517 (1953).
- (141) Kornblum, N., and Kendall, D. L., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 74, 5782 (1952).
- (142) Kreiter, V. P., Bonner, **W. A,,** and Eastman, R. H., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 76, 5770 (1954).
- (143) Lele, S. S., Patel, M. S., and Sethna, S., J. *Chem. SOC.,* <sup>969</sup> (1961).
- (144) Lesslie, M. S., and Mayer, U. **J.** H., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 611 (1961).
- (145) Lesslie, M. S., and Mayer, U. J. H., *J. Chem. Soc.,* <sup>1401</sup> (1962).
- (146) Lettre, H., and Jahn, **A.,** *Ber.,* 85, 346 (1952).
- (147) Lipkin, **A.** E., *Zh. Obshch. Khim.,* 33, 196 (1963).
- (148) Litvinenko, L. M. Grekov, **A.** P., and Shapoval, L. D., *Zh. Obshch. Khim.,* 27, 3115 (1957).
- (149) Litvinenko, L. M., Grekov, **A.** P., Verkhovod, N. N., and Dzyuva, V. P., *Zh. Ohshch. Khim.,* 26, 2524 (1956).
- (150) Loder, **J.** W., Mongolsuk, S., Robertson, **A,,** and Whalley, W. B., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 2233 (1957).
- (151) Longo, B., and Pirona, M., *Gazz. chim. itd,* 77, 117 (1947); *Chem. Ahstr.,* 42, 543 (1948).
- (152) Longo, B., and Pirona, M., *Gazz. chim. ital.,* 77, 127 (1947); *Chem. Abstr.,* 42, 544 (1948).
- (153) Makarova, L. G., Matveeva, M. K., and Gribchenko, E. **A.,** *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otd. Khim. Nauk,* 1452 (1958).
- Maki, T., and Mine, T., *J. SOC. Chem. Ind. Japan,* **47,**  522 (1944); *Chem. Abstr.,* **42,** 6119 (1948).
- Mayo, F. R., and Hurwitz, M. D., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **71,**  776 (1949).
- Meyer, K. H., *Helv. Chim. Acta,* **23,** 93 (1940).
- Michalsky, J., and Smrz, M., *Monatsh.,* **91,** 307 (1960).
- Mislow, K., and Bolstad, R., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **77,** 6712 (1955).
- Mix, H., *Ann.,* **592,** 146 (1955).
- Mosby, W. L., *J. Org. Chem.,* **22,** 671 (1957).
- Moynehan, T. M., and Hey, D. H., *Proc. Chem. SOC.,* 209 (1957).
- Muller, E., and Hertel, E., *Ann.,* **555,** 157 (1944).
- Muller, H. K., and Krombholz, W., *Ber.,* **93,** 2561 (1960).
- (164) Murakami, M., and Moritani, I., *J. Chem. Soc. Japan*, *Chem. Abstr.,* 45, *Pure Chem. Sect.,* **70,** 236 (1949); 4698 (1951).
- Murphy, D. B., Schwartz, F. R., Picard, J. P., and Kaufman, J. V. R., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **75,** 4289 (1953).
- MUSBO, H., *Ber.,* **91,** 349 (1958).
- Nakazawa, K., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Tokyo), **7,** 748 (i059).
- (168) Newman, M. S., and Wiseman, E. H., *J. Org. Chem.*, 26, 3208 (1961).
- Nield, E., Stephens, R., and Tatlow, J. C., *J. Chem. SOC.,*  166 (1959).
- Niimi, J., Sempuku, K., and Ayaau, H., *Yakugaku Zasshi,*  **82,** 639 (1962); *Chem. Abstr.,* **58,** 4513 (1963).
- Nileson, M., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* **10,** 1377 (1956).
- Nilsson, M., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* **12,** 537 (1958).
- Nilsson, M., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* **12,** 1830 (1958).
- Nord, F. F., and Jean, G. N., *Naturwissenschaften,* **39,480**  (1952).
- Nozaki, T., Tamura, M., Harada, **Y.,** and Sato, K., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **33,** 1329 (1960).
- (176) Nozoe, T., Doi, K., and Kitihara, K., Proc. Japan Acad., **32,** 480 (1956).
- Nursten, H. E., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 3081 (1955).
- Oki, M., and Iwamura, H., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan,* **34,**  1395 (1961).
- Oki, M., and Sato, T., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **30,** 508 (1957).
- Oki, M., and Sato, T., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **30,** 702 (1957).
- Owen, L. J., and Nord, F. F., *J. Org. Chem.,* **16,** 1864 (1951).
- Pan, H. L., and Fletcher, T. L., *J.* Org. *Chem.,* **25,** 1106 (1960).
- Pauson, **P.** L., and Smith, B. C., J. Org. *Chem.,* **18,** <sup>1403</sup> (1953).
- Perevalova, **E.** G., and Nesmeyanova, 0. **A.,** *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* SSSR, **132,** 1093 (1960).
- (185) Pettit, M. R., and Tatlow, J. C., J. Chem. Soc., 3459 (1951).
- Pettit, M. R., and Tatlow, J. C., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1071 (1954).
- (187) Price, C. C., Snyder, H. R., and Van Heyningen, E. M., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 68,2589 (1946).
- Profft, E., and Richter, H., *J. prakt. Chem.,* **9,** 164 (1959).
- Pummer, **W.** J., and Wall, L. A., *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Std.,*  **63A,** 167 (1959); *Chem. Abstr.,* **54,** 10,906 (1960).
- Pummer, W. **J.,** and Wall, L. A., U. S. Patent 3,046,313 (July 24, 1962); *Chem. Abstr.,* **57,** 15,003 (1962).
- Rao, K. V. J., and Row, L. R., *J.* Org. *Chem.,* **25,** 981 (1960).
- Rausch, M. D., *J. Org. Chem.,* **26,** 1802 (1961).
- (193) Rausch, M. D., U. S. Patent 3,010,981 (Feb. 29, 1960); *Chem. Abstr.,* **56,** 8750 (1962).
- Riedl, W., *Ann.,* **597,** 148 (1955).
- (195) Riedl, W., and Imhof, F., *Ann.,* **597,153** (1955).
- (196) Rieger, M., and Westheimer, F. H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **72**, 28 (1950).
- (197) Ritchie, **E.,** *J. Proc. Roy. SOC. N. S. Wales,* **78,** 134 (1945); *Chem. Abstr.,* 40, 876 (1946).
- (198) Ross, S. D., and Kuntz, I., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **74,** 1297 (1952).
- (199) Ross, S. D., Markarian, M., and Schwarz, M., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **75,** 4967 (1953).
- (200) Runeberg, J., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* **12,** 188 (1958).
- (201) Sakan, T., and Nakazaki, M., *J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ.,* **1,** 23 (1950); *Chem. Abstr.,* **46,** 5036 (1952).
- (202) Sako, S., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **9,** 55 (1934); *Chem. Abstr.,* **28,** 3730 (1934).
- (203) Sato, T., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **32,** 1130 (1959).
- (204) Sato, T., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **32,** 1292 (1959).
- (205) Sato, T., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, 33, 501 (1960).
- **(206)** Sato, T., and Oki, M., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **30,** 859 (1957).
- (207) Sato, T., and Oki, M., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **30,958** (1957).
- (208) Sato, T., and Oki, M., *Bull. Chem. SOC. Japan,* **32,** 1289 (1959).
- (209) Sauvage, G., Ann. Chim. (Paris), [12] 2, 844 (1947); *Chem. Abstr.,* **42,** 4999 (1948).
- (210) Schuetz, R. D., and Ciporin, L., *J.* Org. *Chem.,* **23,** 206 (1958).
- (211) Seaae, J. W., and Zechmeister, **L.,** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **69,**  270 (1947).
- (212) Shah, **M.** V., *Current Sci.* (India), **31,** 57 (1962); *Chem. Abstr.,* **58,** 498 (1963).
- (213) Shibata, *S., Acta Phytochim.* (Japan), **14,** 9 (1944); *Chem. Abstr.,* **45,** 7100 (1951).
- (214) Shibata, *S.,* Tanaka, O., and Kitagawa, I., *Pham. Bull.*  (Tokyo), **3,** 278 (1955).
- (215) Smith, P. A. S., Clegg, **J.** M., and **Hall,** J. H., *J.* Org. *Chem.,* **23,** 524 (1958).
- (216) Smith, W. T., Jr., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **71,** 2855 (1949).
- (217) Smith, W. T., Jr., and Campanaro, L., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,*  **75,** 3602 (1953).
- (218) Smolinsky, G., *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **83,** 2489 (1961).
- (219) Stetter, H., and Schwartz, M., *Ber.,* **90,** 1349 (1957).
- (220) Stjernstroem, N. E., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* **16,** 553 (1962).
- (221) Stubbs, H. W. D., and Tucker, S. H., *J. Chem. Soc.*, 227 (1954).
- (222) Sy, M., Buu-HoY, Ng. Ph., and Xuong, **Ng.** D., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1975 (1954).
- (223) Theilacker, W., and Baxmann, F., *Ann.,* **581,** 117 (1953).
- (224) Truce, W. E., and Emrick, D. D., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 78, 6130 (1956).
- (225) Tsutsui, M., Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 93, 133 (1961).
- (226) Tucker, S. H., *J. Chem.* Soc., **1958,** 1462.
- (227) Tucker, S. H., and Whalley, M., *J. Chem. Soc.,* 632 (1949).
- (228) Tucker, S. H., and Whalley, M., J. Chem. Soc., 3213 (1949).
- (229) Tucker, S. H., and Whalley, M., *J. Chem. Soc.*, 3187 (1952).
- (230) Uhlenbroek, J. W., and Bijloo, J. D., *Rec. kau. chim.,* **78,**  382 (1959).
- (231) Uhlenbroek, J. H., and Bijloo, J. D., *Rec. trau. chim.,* **79,**  1181 (1960).
- (232) Valenta, Z., and Wiesner, K., *Chem. Ind.* (London), 402 (1954).
- (233) Varma, P. S., Venkat Raman, K. S., and Nilkantiah, P. M., *J. Indian Chem. SOC.,* **21,** 112 (1944); *Chem. Abstr.,*  **39,** 1395 (1945).
- (234) Vogel, A. I., **"A** Textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry," **3rd** Ed., Longmans, Green and Co., London, 1957, p. 192.
- (235) Wachtmeister, C. A., *Acta Chem. Scand.,* **8,** 1433 (1954).
- (236) Wallis, E. W., and Moyer, W. W., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* **55,**  2598 (1933).
- (237) Ward, E. R., and Pearson, B. D., *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1676 (1959).
- (238) Ward, E. R., and Pearson, **B.** D., J. *Chem. Soc.,* <sup>3378</sup> (1959).
- (239) Ward, E. R., and Pearson, B. D., J. *Chem. Soc.,* <sup>515</sup> (1961).
- *(240)* Warnhoff, E. W., and Wildman, W. C., J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,*  79, 2192 (1957).
- (241) Weil, A., *Cmpt. rend.,* **254,** 3674 (1962).
- (242) Werner, A., and Grob, A., *Ber.,* 37, 2887 (1904).
- (243) Whaley, W. M., Meadow, M., and Robinson, C. N., J. *Org. Chem.,* **19,** 973 (1954).
- (244) Whaley, W. M., and White, C., J. *Org. Chem.,* **18,** <sup>184</sup> (1953).
- (245) Wiesner, K., Valenta, Z., Manson, **A.** J., and Stonner, F. W., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 77, 675 (1955).
- (246) Wirth, H. O., U. S. Atomic Energy Commission TID- 7612, (1960) p. 78; *Chem. Abstr., 57,* 9695 (1962).
- (247) Woods, G. F., Reed, F. T., Arthur, T. E., and Ezekiel, H., J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 73, 3854 (1951).
- (248) Wynberg, H., and Logothetis, **A.,** J. *Am. Chem. Soc., 78,*  1958 (1956).
- (249) Wynberg, H., and Wolf, A. P., J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* **85,**  3308 (1963).
- (250) Yanai, M., and Naito, T., *Yakugaku Zasshi,* 61,99 (1941); *Chem. Abstr.,* 36,479 (1942).
- (251) Zahn, H., and Zuber, H., *Ber.,* 86,172 (1953).